

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/379,092	08/23/99	MOULI		С	MICT-0042-U	= PT
		٦		EXAMINER		
TROP PRUNER		MM92/0103		POMPEY	,R	
8554 KATY FF	REEWAY		•	ART UNIT	T PAPER NUM	IBER
SUITE 100 HOUSTON TX 7	77024			2812		
en de la companya de			DATE MAILED: 01/03/01			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/379,092	MOULI, CHANDRA V.			
			Art Unit			
		Examiner				
		Ron E Pompey	2812			
 Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ears on the cover sneet with	The correspondence address			
A SHC THE N - Extens after S - If the - If NO - Faillur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repling period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing displacement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB g date of this communication, even if t	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16					
2a)		This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	rance except for formal mar Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 42-45 is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claims <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	•			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.	-			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d).			
1) All b) Some * c) None of:					
"	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I See the attached detailed Office action for a li	iority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National Stage			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. & 119(e).			
Attachme	ent(s)					
15) N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 19) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			



Art Unit: 2812

بالملتأ بالركيل

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: One embodiment uses ion implantation to form the oxidation enhancing impurities and another embodiment uses a solid diffusion source to form the oxidation enhancing impurities, see claims 12 and 20.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 26 and 33 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the





Art Unit: 2812

المالية المتحالة

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron E Pompey whose telephone number is (703) 305-3016. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ron Pompey

January 2, 2001

John F. Niebling Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800